

1 E. MARTIN ESTRADA
United States Attorney
2 DAVID M. HARRIS
Assistant United States Attorney
3 Chief, Civil Division
4 CEDINA M. KIM
Assistant United States Attorney
5 Senior Trial Attorney, Civil Division
6 JENNIFER LEE TARN, CSBN 240609
7 Special Assistant United States Attorney
8 Office of the General Counsel
Office of Program Litigation
9 Social Security Administration
10 6401 Security Boulevard
11 Baltimore, MD 21235
12 Telephone: (510) 970-4861
13 Facsimile: (415) 744-0134
Email: Jennifer.Tarn@ssa.gov
Attorneys for Defendant

JS-6

15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
16 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
17 WESTERN DIVISION

18 YUSEF HARRISON,

19 Plaintiff,

20 v.

21 KILOLO KIJAKAZI, Acting
22 Commissioner of Social Security,

23 Defendant.
24

No. 2:22-cv-08242-FMO-PVC

~~PROPOSED~~ JUDGMENT

1 The Court having approved the parties' stipulation to remand this case pursuant
2 to Sentence 4 of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) for further proceedings consistent with that
3 stipulation and for entry of judgment for Plaintiff, judgment is hereby entered for
4 Plaintiff.¹

5
6 DATED: June 13, 2023


HONORABLE JUDGE PEDRO V. CASTILLO
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26 ¹ In *Bastidas v. Chappell*, 791 F.3d 1155 (9th Cir. 2015), the Ninth Circuit held that the magistrate
27 judge had the authority to grant Petitioner's request to dismiss two unexhausted claims in his habeas
28 petition without the approval of a district judge, as the magistrate judge's order was simply "doing
what [the] habeas petitioner has asked." *Id.* at 1165. While *Bastidas* is not entirely on point, the
stipulation for remand and entry of judgment here is jointly made by the parties, without any
compulsion from the magistrate judge. Because there appears to be no danger of undue prejudice to
any party, the Court grants the request.